

Rough Continuity of Rough Real Functions Extended Abstract

Zoltán Ernő Csajbók

Department of Health Informatics, Faculty of Health, University of Debrecen
Sóstói út 2-4, H-4406 Nyíregyháza, Hungary
{csze.sci@gmail.com}

Abstract. In the mid 1990s Z. Pawlak relying on the rough set theory initiated the study of rough calculus in his many papers. In the paper, fundamental features of rough continuity of rough real functions are presented in a systematic manner.

In the mid 1990s Z. Pawlak relying on the rough set theory (RST, in short) [1,2,7] initiated the study of rough calculus in many papers, see mainly [5,6,4] [3]. He invented the investigation of its different subfields such as rough continuity–discontinuity, derivatives–integrals, differential equations, etc.

Let I denote a closed interval $I = [0, a]$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, $a > 0$). A *categorization* of I is a sequence $S_I = \{x_i\}_{i \in [n]} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, where $n \geq 1$ and $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = a$. S_I is often called the *discretization* of I as well.

Let I_S denote the equivalence relation generated by the categorization S_I which is defined as follows. Let $x, y \in I$. $x I_S y$ if $x = y = x_i \in S_I$ for some $i \in [n]$, or $x, y \in]x_i, x_{i+1}[$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence, the partition I/I_S associated with the equivalence relation I_S is the following:

$$I/I_S = \{\{x_0\},]x_0, x_1[, \{x_1\}, \dots, \{x_{n-1}\},]x_{n-1}, x_n[, \{x_n\}\}$$

where $\{x_i\} = [x_i, x_i]$ ($i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$).

The block of the partition I/I_S containing $x \in I$ is denoted by $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{I_S}$. In particular, if $x \in S_I$, $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{I_S} = \{x\}$. If $x \in]x_i, x_{i+1}[$, $\overline{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{I_S}} = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ is the *closure* of $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{I_S}$. Of course, when $x \in S_I$, $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{I_S} = \overline{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{I_S}} = \{x\}$. Accordingly, any $x \in S_I$ is called *rough isolated point* in I .

In terms of RST terminology, I_S is an indiscernibility relation on I . The members of I/I_S are called *elementary* or *base* sets. Any union of base sets are referred to as *definable* sets. By definition, \emptyset is definable. Their collection is denoted by \mathcal{D}_{I/I_S} .

The principal notions of RST are the lower and upper approximation functions, \mathfrak{l}_S and \mathfrak{u}_S . Most commonly, their domain and co-domain are the power set of I . In the following, however, the closed intervals of the form $[0, x]$ ($x \in I$) will only be approximated. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{l}_S([0, x]) &= \cup \{ \llbracket x' \rrbracket_{I_S} \in I/I_S \mid \llbracket x' \rrbracket_{I_S} \subseteq [0, x] \}, \\ \mathfrak{u}_S([0, x]) &= \cup \{ \llbracket x' \rrbracket_{I_S} \in I/I_S \mid \llbracket x' \rrbracket_{I_S} \cap [0, x] \neq \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

$PAS(I) = (I, I/I_S, \mathcal{D}_{I/I_S}, l_S, u_S)$ is called *Pawlak approximation space*.

It is said that the number $x \in I$ is *exact* with respect to $PAS(I)$ if $l_S([0, 1]) = u_S([0, x])$, otherwise x is *inexact* or *rough* [5]. Of course, $x \in I$ is exact iff $x \in S_I$. Members of I/I_S are called *rough numbers* with respect to $PAS(I)$.

Let $I = [0, a_I]$ and $J = [0, a_J]$ be two closed intervals with $a_I, a_J \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, $a_I, a_J > 0$. Let S_I and P_J be the categorizations of I and J , where $S_I = \{x_i\}_{i \in [n]}$, $P_J = \{y_j\}_{j \in [m]} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ with $m, n \geq 1$, $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = a_I$ and $0 = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = a_J$.

The corresponding Pawlak approximation spaces are $PAS(I)$ and $PAS(J)$. They form a so-called (S_I, P_J) -coordinates system. Real functions $f : I \rightarrow J$ are treated in such a coordinate system, and so, they are called rough real functions.

First, the representation of rough functions will be shown. It can be performed by pointwise, block by block, finite sequence, and discrete sequence manner. They rely on lower and upper approximations of rough functions.

The main notion is the pointwise continuity of rough real functions [5]. A function $f : I \rightarrow J$ is *roughly continuous* at x if $f(\overline{[x]_{I_S}}) \subseteq \overline{[f(x)]_{J_P}}$, where \overline{S} is the closure of set S . Otherwise, f is *roughly discontinuous* at $x \in I$.

The main part of the paper is different generalizations of rough continuity with respect to block by block, finite sequence, and discrete sequence representations, and the presentation of their mutual connections.

References

1. Pawlak, Z.: Rough sets. International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences 11(5), 341–356 (1982)
2. Pawlak, Z.: Rough Sets: Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1991)
3. Pawlak, Z.: Rough real functions. vol. 50. Institute of Computer Science Report, Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw (12 1994)
4. Pawlak, Z.: On some issues connected with roughly continuous functions. vol. 21. Institute of Computer Science Report, Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw (1995)
5. Pawlak, Z.: Rough sets, rough relations and rough functions. Fundamenta Informaticae 27(2/3), 103–108 (1996)
6. Pawlak, Z.: Rough real functions and rough controllers. In: Lin, T., Cercone, N. (eds.) Rough Sets and Data Mining: Analysis of Imprecise Data. pp. 139–147. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA (1997)
7. Pawlak, Z., Skowron, A.: Rudiments of rough sets. Information Sciences 177(1), 3–27 (2007)